

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,355	ZHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leigh C. Maier	1623	

All Participants:
Status of Application: amended

 (1) Leigh C. Maier.

(3) _____.

 (2) William Ramey.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 May 2005
Time: 11:30 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
14 and 16
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exr indicated that claims were in conditon for allowance with a couple of corrections. Exr proposed correcting the spelling of "cyclodextrin" in claim 14 and delete the numeral "4" in claim 16. It was noted that it appeared that Applicant intended to delete the "4" in claim 16, but the strike-through mark is not visible. Mr. Ramey authorized the examiner's amendment necessary to make these corrections. .